

**Table C-86. Federal obligations for R&D plant for selected agencies, by State and category of performer supported: fiscal year 2000**

[Dollars in thousands]

Page 1 of 2

State	Total	Intra-mural <sup>1</sup>	Extramural						
			Indus-trial firms	FFRDCs admin by indus-trial firms	Univer-sities and colleges	FFRDCs admin by univs and colleges	Other nonprofit institu-tions	FFRDCs admin by non-profit institu-tions	State and local govts
Total .....	4,489,276	569,914	2,814,541	27,567	213,530	613,840	55,549	193,452	883
Alabama .....	210,622	5,888	202,700	0	1,769	0	265	0	0
Alaska .....	295	295	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona .....	4,116	643	361	0	2,961	151	0	0	0
Arkansas .....	3,198	2,561	0	0	637	0	0	0	0
California .....	502,962	12,918	68,637	0	35,761	385,601	45	0	0
Colorado .....	34,628	6,013	1,149	0	5,277	20,590	0	1,599	0
Connecticut .....	91,041	182	89,605	0	1,254	0	0	0	0
Delaware .....	335	122	213	0	0	0	0	0	0
District of Columbia .....	29,994	27,427	796	0	1,168	0	603	0	0
Florida .....	238,305	44,437	185,802	0	8,066	0	0	0	0
Georgia .....	9,243	2,633	111	0	6,499	0	0	0	0
Hawaii .....	1,770	1,770	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho .....	27,158	10,413	13,895	2,850	0	0	0	0	0
Illinois .....	143,139	10,532	4,971	0	18,158	109,478	0	0	0
Indiana .....	12,164	568	8,530	0	3,066	0	0	0	0
Iowa .....	8,127	2,919	0	0	1,640	3,568	0	0	0
Kansas .....	3,005	684	0	0	2,321	0	0	0	0
Kentucky .....	2,564	0	0	0	2,564	0	0	0	0
Louisiana .....	11,692	2,268	9,283	0	141	0	0	0	0
Maine .....	1,480	51	0	0	145	0	1,284	0	0
Maryland .....	352,404	328,402	20,490	0	3,021	0	491	0	0
Massachusetts .....	41,626	3,824	6,783	0	20,553	0	10,466	0	0
Michigan .....	5,947	458	1,131	0	4,358	0	0	0	0
Minnesota .....	3,661	1,214	50	0	2,151	0	246	0	0
Mississippi .....	20,745	8,004	11,910	0	831	0	0	0	0
Missouri .....	3,146	347	222	0	2,577	0	0	0	0
Montana .....	2,384	1,421	109	0	854	0	0	0	0
Nebraska .....	3,424	818	0	0	2,606	0	0	0	0
Nevada .....	6,227	808	5,120	0	234	0	0	0	65
New Hampshire .....	4,143	274	63	0	3,159	0	0	0	647
New Jersey .....	46,384	17,789	15,387	0	3,634	9,068	506	0	0
New Mexico .....	122,219	6,685	23,863	24,579	119	66,973	0	0	0
New York .....	113,641	15,718	14,496	0	21,112	0	2,000	60,144	171
North Carolina .....	7,727	5,589	107	0	2,031	0	0	0	0
North Dakota .....	1,088	1,088	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio .....	68,665	5,427	59,655	0	1,434	0	2,149	0	0
Oklahoma .....	3,527	1,806	73	0	1,511	0	137	0	0
Oregon .....	4,049	1,691	289	0	2,069	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania .....	63,315	5,334	12,499	0	9,285	0	36,197	0	0
Rhode Island .....	2,942	448	31	0	2,420	0	43	0	0
South Carolina .....	18,232	8,914	89	138	9,091	0	0	0	0
South Dakota .....	2,154	97	0	0	2,057	0	0	0	0
Tennessee .....	128,868	0	1,199	0	3,047	1,276	0	123,346	0
Texas .....	2,014,247	8,784	1,993,766	0	11,000	0	697	0	0
Utah .....	1,760	965	60	0	735	0	0	0	0
Vermont .....	2,126	6	0	0	2,120	0	0	0	0
Virginia .....	60,617	927	48,664	0	1,789	8,867	370	0	0
Washington .....	12,110	966	965	0	1,766	0	50	8,363	0
West Virginia .....	18,361	4,962	5,131	0	0	8,268	0	0	0
Wisconsin .....	10,469	2,049	3,986	0	4,434	0	0	0	0
Wyoming .....	941	941	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

See explanatory information, if any, and SOURCE at end of table.

**Table C-86. Federal obligations for R&D plant for selected agencies, by State and category of performer supported: fiscal year 2000**

[Dollars in thousands]

Page 2 of 2

State	Total	Intra-mural <sup>1</sup>	Extramural						
			Indus-trial firms	FFRDCs admin by indus-trial firms	Univer-sities and colleges	FFRDCs admin by univs and colleges	Other nonprofit institu-tions	FFRDCs admin by non-profit institu-tions	State and local govts
Outlying areas .....	5,562	1,107	2,350	0	2,105	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico .....	3,212	1,107	0	0	2,105	0	0	0	0
Other areas .....	2,350	0	2,350	0	0	0	0	0	0
Offices abroad .....	727	727	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Federal installations

**KEY:** FFRDCs = Federally funded research and development centers

**NOTES:** Only the following 10 agencies are required to report to this section of the survey: the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, and Transportation; the Environmental Protection Agency; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; and the National Science Foundation. The obligations of the 10 major R&D supporting agencies included in this table represent approximately 99 percent of total Federal R&D plant obligations in fiscal year 2000.

Geographic distribution of development funding to industry reflects only the location of prime contractors, not the numerous subcontractors who perform most of the research and development.

Beginning in fiscal year 2000, the National Aeronautics & Space Administration reclassified Space Station as a physical asset and Space Station Research as equipment, and transferred funding for the program from R&D to R&D plant.

**SOURCE:** National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Federal Funds for Research and Development: Fiscal Years 2000, 2001, and 2002